upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

1

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

17

16

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." Id. Congress concluded that "existing laws...[we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "'the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." ld. § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws ·were inadequate~ id § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. Id. § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C.</u> § 1331, as well as <u>15 U.S.C.</u> § 1692 et. seq. The Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the State law claims in this action pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C.</u> § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C.</u> § 1391(b)(2) as this is where a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of California consumers under § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), and
 - 6. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of California, County of Contra Costa, residing at 223 Obsidian Way, Rodeo, CA 94547.
- 8. Defendant SCS is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA with an address at 100 River Rock Drive, Suite 200, Buffalo, New York 14207.

- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 10. Defendant is a "debt collector", as defined under the FDCPA under 15U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 11. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 12. Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).
 - 13. The Class consists of:
 - a. all individuals with addresses in the State of California;
 - b. to whom Defendant SCS sent a collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt;
 - c. that states they will not a submit negative credit report until the expiration of a time period described above without describing a time period anywhere in their letter;
 - d. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.

- 14. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
- 15. Excluded from the Plaintiff Classes are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.
- 16. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Classes, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibits A, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, and 1692f.
- 17. The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Classes defined in this complaint. The Plaintiffs have retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiffs nor their attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

23

24

25 26

27

- 18. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - a. Numerosity: The Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Plaintiff Classes defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - b. Common Questions Predominate: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Classes and those questions predominance over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A violate 15 § 1692e and §1692f.
 - c. **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiffs and all members of the Plaintiff Classes have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - d. Adequacy: The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiffs have no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiffs are committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiffs have also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits,

complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- e. <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 19. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Classes predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 20. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiffs may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

- 21. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 22. Some time prior to August 7, 2018, an obligation was allegedly incurred to John F Kennedy University.
- 23. The John F Kennedy University obligation arose out of a transaction involving a debt incurred by Plaintiff in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- The alleged John F Kennedy University obligation is a "debt" as 24. defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(5).
- 25. John F Kennedy University is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(4).
- 26. John F Kennedy University contracted the Defendant to collect the alleged debt.
- 27. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and internet.

Violation I – August 8, 2018 Collection Letter

28. On or about August 8, 2018, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter (the "Letter") regarding the alleged debt owed to John F Kennedy University. See Collection Letter – Attached hereto as Exhibit A.

29. The letter states:

As required by law, you are hereby notified that a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations. But we will not submit a negative credit report to a credit reporting agency about this credit obligation until the expiration of the time period described above.

- 30. Defendant assures the Plaintiff that it will not submit a negative credit report until the expiration of a certain time period decribed "above," however, nowhere on the Letter is there any description of a time period.
- 31. In fact, earlier in the Letter the Defendant states, "WE STRONGLY RECOMMEND THAT YOU MAIL YOUR CHECK TO THIS OFFICE IMMEDIATELY," implying that there is no time period being given and that the payment must be given immediately to avoid further consequences such as credit reporting.
- 32. It is deceptive to promise that no negative reporting will occur within a certain time period without describing the time period.
- 33. The consumer has no way of knowing how to comply, and coupled with the immediacy of the demand for payment may conclude that there actually

is no time – period for which to expire and will be coerced into acting immediately.

34. As a result of Defendant's deceptive misleading and false debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

- 35. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 36. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 37. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - 38. Defendant violated said section by:
 - a. Making a false and misleading representation in violation of §1692e(10).

39. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT II VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692f et seq.

- 40. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 41. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.
- 42. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692f, a debt collector may not use any unfair or unconscionable means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 43. Defendant violated this section by unfairly failing to advise Plaintiff as to the time period in which no negative credit reporting will occur.
- 44. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692f et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

1 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY** 2 45. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff 3 hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable. 4 5 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 6 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Sharde Cottonham, individually and on behalf of all 7 8 others similarly situated, demands judgment from Defendant SCS as follows: 9 a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action 10 11 and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Jonathan Stieglitz, Esq. as 12 Class Counsel; 13 Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages; b) 14 15 Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages; c) 16 d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable 17 attorneys' fees and expenses; 18 19 Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and e) 20 Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this f) 21 22 Court may deem just and proper. 23 Dated: July 31, 2019 24 THE LAW OFFICES OF 25 JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ 26 By: /s/ Jonathan A Stieglitz 27 Jonathan A Stieglitz 28 - 12 -

I	Case 3:19-cv-04486-SK	Document 1	Filed 08/02/19	Page 13 of 13
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28			- 13 -	
	1			